Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BRASIL 02783 112216Z

73

ACTION ARA-06

INFO OCT-01 SS-14 ISO-00 OFA-01 NSC-05 NSCE-00 EB-03 L-01

INR-05 CIAE-00 DLOS-03 SP-02 PRS-01 IO-03 OES-02

SSO-00 INRE-00 /047 W

----- 103351

O 112130Z APR 75

FM AMEMBASSY BRASILIA TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 8635 AMEMBASSY GENEVA IMMEDIATE

CONFIDENTIAL BRASILIA 2783

LIMDIS

E.O.11652: GDS

TAGS: EFIS, PLOS, PFOR, BR

SUBJECT: LEGAL CONSEQUENCES OF SHRIMP VESSEL SEIZURE

GENEVA PASS CLINGAN

REF: STATE 79224

1. IN ORDER TO MAKE CLEAR TO GOB THAT OUR PURPOSE IS TO PROTECT OUR LOS POSITION AND TO ENSURE THAT US POSITION ON LIMITS OF AGREEMENT NOT BE MISUNDERSTOOD, WE HAVE SOME MODIFICATIONS AND ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE TO SUGGEST FOR INCORPORATION INTO TEXT OF DEPARTMENT'S DRAFT. AMAJOR CHANGE IS NEW THIRD PARAGRAPH AT BEGINNING OF NOTE. WHICH IS INTENDED TO PUT OUR PROTEST INTO CONTEXT OF HISTORY OF PAST SHRIMP AGREEMENT NEGOTIATIONS AND REMIND GOB OF UNDERLYING PURPOSE OF AGREEMENTS TO AVOID JURISDICTIONAL CONFLICT (THIS NEW PARAGRAPH IS LANGUAGE DRAWN FROM 1972 MCKERNAN STATEMENT AT SENATE FOREIGN RELATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE HEARINGS). OTHER CHANGES MODIFY THE TONE SOMEWHAT BUT NOT THE SUBSTANCE. THE REORGANIZATTION OF OF THE NOTE IS INTENDED TO BRING OUT AS CLEARLY AS POSSIBLE RATIONALE OF US POSITION, WHICH WE BELIEVE WILL TAKE THE GOB ABACK. IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN TONE OF DEMARCHE AS CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BRASIL 02783 112216Z

INDICATED REFTEL, EMBASSY BELIEVES PROTEST SHOULD BE CONVEYED

VIA THIRD NOT FIRST PERSON NOTE.

2. BEGIN TEXT OF REVISED DRAFT OFNOTE:

THE EMBASSY HAS TE HONOR TO REFER TO THE FOREIGN
MINISTRY'S NOTE . . . OF APRIL 3 AND TO THE COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVED
BY THE U.S. CONSUL IN BELEM FROM THE BRAZILIAN NAVY AND
SUDEPTE CONCERNING THE ARREST AND SEIZURE OF TWO U.S. SHRIMP
FISHING VESSELS

IT IS THE UNDERSTANDING OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE U.S. THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF BRAZIL CONSIDERS THE TWO VESSELS, "YOUNG'S" AND "TINA MARIE," TO HAVE VIOLATED THE US-BRAZILIAN AGREEMENT CONCERNING SHRIMP, SIGNED ON MARCH 14, 1975, BY FISHING IN WATERS OUTSDE THE AREA DELINEATED BY THE TERMS OF ARTICLE I OF THE AGREEMENT. IN THIS CONNECTION, SUDEPE HAS INFORMED THE U.S. CONSUL THAT CERTAIN QUANTITIES OF SHRIMP AND SMALL FISH WERE CONFISCATED IN CONFORMITY WITH ARTICLE V, PARAGRAPH 5 OF THE AGREEMENT.

IN 1972, AND AGAIN THIS YEAR, THE GOVERNMENTS OF THE U.S.
AND BRAZIL RECOGNIZED THE DESIRABILITY OF CONCLUDING AN AGREEMENT
CONCERNING THE SITUATION OF THE SHRIMP FISHERY OFF NORTHEASTERN
BRAZIL IN ORDER, AMONG OTHER THINGS, TO AVOID A POTENTIAL
CONFLICT ARISING FROM THE DIFFERING JURIDICAL POSTIIONS OF THE
TWO GOVERNMENTS THAT COULD HAVE PROVED DETRIMENTAL TO HARMONIOUS
RELATIONS BETWEENTHE TWO COUNTRIES. THE AGREEMENTS SIGNED IN
MAY 1972, AND ON MARCH 14 OF THIS YEAR, WERE THE RESULT OF THE
JOINT SEARCH BY THE TWO GOVERNMENTS FOR A FORMULA THAT SATISFACTORILY
PROTECTS THE FISHERY INTERESTS OF BOTH COUNTRIES, WHILE
ALSO SUCCESSFULLY AVOIDING PREJUDICE, BOTH IN FORM AND IN
FACT, TO THE JURIDICAL POSITIONS OF THE TWO PARTIES.

INASMUCH AS THE RESPECTIVE JURIDICAL POSITIONS OF THE TWO
GOVERNMENTS HAVE NOT BEEN AFFECTED, THE U.S. CONSIDERS THAT
THE APPLICABILITY OF THE CURRENT AGREEMENT -- AS THAT OF ITS
PREDECESSOR, THE A1972 AGREEMENT -- IS LIMITED TO AN AREA CAREFULLY
DEFINED IN ARTICLE I, WHICH READS, IN PART, AS FOLLOWS:
"THE AGREEMENT APPLIES. . . IN AN AREA OF THE BROADER REGION
IN WHICH THE SHRIMP FISHERIES OF THE TWO PARTIES ARE CONDUCTED,
HEREINAFTER REFERRED TO AS THE 'AREA OF AGREEMENT', . . . "
IN THE U.S. VIEW, IN ADDITION TO ARTICLE I, THIS READING OF THE
AGREEMENT IS ALSO SUPPORTED, INTER ALIA, BY THE PREAMBLE, WHICH
RESTATES THE U.S. JURIDICAL POSITION, AND BY THE PROVISIONS
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BRASIL 02783 112216Z

OF ARTICLE IX.

WITH RESPECT TO THE AFOREMENTIONED ARRESTS AND SEIZURES, THE BRAZILIAN NAVY REPORTED THE LOCATION OF THE TWO VESSELS AS BEING MORE THAN 300 MILES OUTSIDE THE AGREEMENT AREA AS DEFINED IN ARITCLE I. ACCORDING TO THE NAVY REPORTS, THE TWO VESSELS WERE SIGHTED SOME 22 NAUTICAL MILES AND SEIZED SOME 18 NAUTICAL MILES FROM THE COAST. IN THE LIGHT OF THESE CONSIDERATIONS, THE GOVERNMENT OF

THE UNITED STATES WISHES TO ADVISE THE GOVERNMENT OF BRAZIL THAT:

A. UNDER INTERNATIONAL LAW THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES DOES NOT CONSIDER ITSELF OBLIGATED TO RECOGNIZE TERRITORIAL SEA CLAIMS OF MORE THAN 3 NAUTICAL MILES NOR FISHERIES JURISDICTION OF MORE THAN 12 NAUTICAL MILES FROM THE COAST.

B. IT IS THE POSITION OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES THAT THE TWO VESSELS WERE SEIZED WHILE ON THE HIGH SEAS WHERE ALL NATIONS INJOY FREEDOM OF FISHING IN ACCORDANCE WITH INTERNATIONAL LAW

C. NO VIOLATION OF THE AGREEMENT WASINVOLVED IN THE OPERATIONS OF THE TWO VESSELS, WHICH WERE CONDUCTED OUTSIDE THE AREA OF AGREEMENT AS DEFINED IN ARTICLE I, THE ONLY AREA TO WHICH THE AGREEMENT HAS ANY RELEVANCE AS PROVIDED THEREIN, AND D. THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES DOES NOT CONSIDER THAT THE AGREEMENT IN ANY WAY AFFECTS THE RIGHT OF THE UNITED STATES VESSELS TO FISH IN ANY OTHER AREA OF THE HIGH SEAS. THE GOVENMENT OF THEUNITED STATES CONTINUES TO CONSIDER THE CRRENT BILATERAL AGREEMENT CONCERNING SHRIMP A USEFUL AND CONSTRUCTIVE ARRANGEMENT MUTUALLY BENEFICIAL TO BOTH COUNTRIES CONSISTENT WITH THIS VIEW, THE GOVERNMENT OF THE U.S. REAFFIRMS ITS INTENTION. IN ACCORDANCE WITHTHE EMBASSY'S NOTE NO. 94 OF MARCH 14, 1975, TO MAKE EVERY EFFORT TO ENCOURAGE THE VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE OF ITS INDUSTRY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE AGREEMENT PENDING ITS ENTRY INTO FORCE AS PROVIDED IN ARTICLE XI. END TEXT OF REVISED NOTE.

- 3. EMBASSY REQUESTS DEPARTMENT'S AUTHORIZATION TO DELIVER ABOVE NOTE IN ACCORDANCE WITH INSTRUCTIONS PARA 9 REFTEL.
- 4. IN RESPONSE TO QUESTION ON REASONS FOR CONFISCATIONOF CATCH (PARA 2 REFTEL), NAVY IN BELEM INFORMED CONSUL THAT CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 BRASIL 02783 112216Z

CATCH WAS TAKEN BECAUSE BOATS WERE FISHING OUTSIDE AGREEMENT AREA. FOREIGN MINISTRY HAS ALSO CONFIRMED THIS EXPLANATION.

5.EMBASSY ASSUMES DEPARTMENT IS INFORMING INDUSTRY THAT DELIVERY OF NOTE WILL JOIN JURIDICAL ISSUE WITH RESULT THAT GOB WILL HENCEFORTH APPLY SEVEFE PENALTY PROVISIONS OF ITS OWN NATIONAL LAWS. EMBASSY ALSO BELIEVES DEMARCHE LIKELY TO RESULT IN STEPPED-UP SURVEILLANCE BY BRAZILIAN NAVY. CRIMMINS

CONFIDENTIAL

	Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006
NNN	
INININ	

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: TEXT, TERRITORIAL SEA LIMIT, POLICIES, DIPLOMATIC NOTES, FISHING AGREEMENTS, YOUNGS, TINA MARIE

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 11 APR 1975 Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975BRASII 02783

Document Number: 1975BRASIL02783 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D750127-0676

From: BRASILIA

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750460/aaaaccvj.tel Line Count: 164 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION ARA Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS Reference: 75 STATE 79224
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 18 JUN 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <18 JUN 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <19 JUN 2003 by GolinoFR>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: LEGAL CONSEQUENCES OF SHRIMP VESSEL SEIZURE GENEVA PASS CLINGAN

TAGS: EFIS, PLOS, PFOR, BR, US To: STATE GENEVA

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006